

On the Attached Primes and Shifted Localization Principle for Local Cohomology Modules*

Tran Nguyen An

Thai Nguyen College of Education, Thai Nguyen City, Vietnam

E-mail: antrngkt@yahoo.com

Received 6 June 2010

Revised 29 June 2011

Communicated by Zhongming Tang

Abstract. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R -module. For an integer $i \geq 0$, the Artinian i -th local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is said to satisfy the shifted localization principle if

$$\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. In this paper we study the attached primes of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ and give some conditions for $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ to satisfy the shifted localization principle.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D45, 13E05

Keywords: local cohomology modules, attached primes, pseudo supports, shifted localization principle

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\dim M = d$. It is clear that

$$\text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R(M), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. We consider the analogous formula for attached primes of the Artinian i -th local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ as follows:

$$\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. We say that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the *shifted localization principle* if this formula holds true. In general, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the *weak general shifted localization principle*, i.e.,

$$\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

*The author is supported by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (Nafosted).

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ (cf. [11, Theorem 4.8], see also [2, 11.3.8]). In case R is a quotient of a Gorenstein local ring, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ always satisfies the shifted localization principle (cf. [11, Theorem 3.7], see also [2, 11.3.2]). However, the shifted localization principle is not valid in general. For example, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be the Noetherian local domain of dimension 2 constructed by Ferrand and Raynaud [7] such that \widehat{R} has an associated prime ideal $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$ of dimension 1. Then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$ does not satisfy the shifted localization principle (cf. [2, 11.3.14]). Moreover, if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local domain of dimension 1 that is not a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein local ring (such a ring exists by [7]), then it is clear that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the shifted localization principle for any finitely generated R -module M and any integer i . Therefore, it is natural to ask under which conditions the shifted localization principle is valid for $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the attached primes of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ in order to give some conditions for $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ to satisfy the shifted localization principle.

For each ideal I of R , we denote by $\text{Var}(I)$ the set of all prime ideals of R containing I . Before stating the main results, we recall the following property on an Artinian R -module A , which was considered first by Cuong and Nhan [5]:

$$\text{Ann}_R(0 :_A \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R A). \tag{*}$$

If R is complete with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, it follows by the Matlis duality that the property (*) is satisfied for all Artinian R -modules A . If R is universally catenary and all its formal fibres are Cohen-Macaulay, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for any integer i (cf. [9, Corollary 3.2]). However, there exists a local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$ that does not satisfy the property (*) (cf. [5, Example 4.3]). It should be mentioned that if R is not complete, then the study of the property (*) for $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is important since it gives a lot of information on the module M and the base ring R (cf. [4, 5, 9, 10, 12]). Also, the results in this paper show that the property (*) is closely related to the shifted localization principle.

Note that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ satisfies the property (*) if and only if the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is catenary (cf. [4]). Together with this fact, our first main result gives some characterizations for the top local cohomology to satisfy the shifted localization principle.

Theorem 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ satisfies the shifted localization principle.
- (ii) The ring $R/\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is catenary.
- (iii) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ satisfies the property (*).
- (iv) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ satisfies the shifted localization principle for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.
- (v) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ satisfies the property (*) for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.

For the lower levels $i < d$, we show how the property (*) is related to the shifted localization principle for minimal attached primes and how it behaves under localization.

Consider the following conditions:

- (a) $\min \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (b) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*).
- (c) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ satisfies the property (*) for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer.*

- (i) *If $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is catenary, then the conditions (a), (b), (c) are equivalent.*
- (ii) *If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the shifted localization principle, then the conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied.*

Following Brodmann and Sharp [3], the i -th pseudo support of M is defined as

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

If R is universally catenary and all its formal fibres are Cohen-Macaulay, then $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M))$ is a closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ in the Zariski topology, but in general $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is a proper subset of $\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M))$ and is not closed (cf. [3] and [9]). Our last main result gives some information on the pseudo supports of M and the attached primes of the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Then*

- (i) $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}$.
- (ii) *If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*), then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all $j \leq i$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) \\ &= \min\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}. \end{aligned}$$

The formula in Theorem 1.3(iii) is known if R is a quotient of a Gorenstein local ring (cf. [2, 11.3.12]). Here we show that this formula is still valid under the weaker assumption that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all $j \leq i$.

This paper is divided into three sections. In the next section we present some preliminaries on the pseudo supports of M , the attached primes and the property (*) for local cohomology modules. The proofs of the main results are given in the last section.

2 Preliminaries

The theory of secondary representation for Artinian modules was introduced by Macdonald [8]. Let A be an Artinian R -module. Then A has a minimal secondary representation $A = A_1 + \dots + A_n$, where A_i is \mathfrak{p}_i -secondary. The set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is independent of the choice of the minimal secondary representation of A . This

set is called the set of attached prime ideals of A , and denoted by $\text{Att}_R(A)$. Note that $A \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{Att}_R(A) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, A has the natural structure as an \widehat{R} -module. With this structure, a subset of A is an R -submodule of A if and only if it is an \widehat{R} -submodule. Therefore, A is an Artinian \widehat{R} -module. The following properties for attached primes of Artinian modules can be found in [8] and [2, 8.2.5].

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an Artinian R -module. Then we have:*

- (i) $\min \text{Att}_R(A) = \min \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R A)$.
- (ii) $\text{Att}_R(A) = \{ \widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \mid \widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(A) \}$.

Note that the role of $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ for the Artinian R -module $A = H_m^i(M)$ is in some sense similar to that of $\text{Supp}(L)$ for a finitely generated R -module L (cf. [3] and [10]). However, although we always have $\text{Supp}(L) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R L)$, the analogous equality $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M))$ is not valid in general. The following connection between these sets in the general case is given in [6, 9]:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Then $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \subseteq \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M))$.*

Lemma 2.3. [4, 9] *The following statements are true:*

- (i) $H_m^d(M)$ satisfies the property (*) if and only if the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^d(M)$ is catenary.
- (ii) For each integer $i \geq 0$, $H_m^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) if and only if $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M))$.
- (iii) If the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is universally catenary and all its formal fibres are Cohen-Macaulay, then $H_m^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all i .
- (iv) If $H_m^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all $i < d$, then $R/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unmixed (i.e., $\dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ for all $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R})$) for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$, and the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is universally catenary.

3 Main Results

Lemma 3.1. *Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. If the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)$ is catenary, then*

$$\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \supseteq \{ \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. The equality holds true if the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is catenary.

Proof. Assume that $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)$ is catenary. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. If $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, then by Lemma 2.2, we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M))$. So

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \supseteq \text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M).$$

Since $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)$ is catenary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}) - \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= (i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}) - \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} \\ &= (i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}) - (\dim R/\mathfrak{q} - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= i - \dim R/\mathfrak{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} &\in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \iff H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{(i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p})-\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) &\neq 0 \\ \iff H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{q}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) &\neq 0 \\ \iff \mathfrak{q} &\in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is catenary. If $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$, then

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{(i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p})-\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}}(M_{\mathfrak{q}}) \neq 0$$

since $(M_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^{i+\dim R/\mathfrak{q}-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}-\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}}(M)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \supseteq \text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+\dim R/\mathfrak{q}-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}-\text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}}(M) \supseteq \text{Ann}_R M.$$

Similar to the first case, we have

$$\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all i . □

Note that the hypothesis of catenaricity of the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ in Lemma 3.1 cannot be omitted. For example, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a non-catenary Noetherian local domain of dimension 3 (such a domain exists, cf. [1]). Then there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} + \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 2$. So $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = 0$. We can show that $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{2-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ but $\mathfrak{q} \notin \text{Psupp}_R^2(R)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Assume that $R/\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is catenary. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*).
- (ii) $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ satisfies the property (*) for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.
- (iii) $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \mid \widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(\widehat{M})\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$. By Lemma 2.3(ii), it is enough to prove

$$\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have $\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. Then by Lemma 2.1(i), there exists $\mathfrak{q}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ such that $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}' \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ by the weak general shifted localization principle. Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*), it follows by Lemma 2.3(ii) that

$$\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) = \text{Psupp}_R^i(M).$$

So we have $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by Lemma 3.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is trivial.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M)$, then $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})$ be such that $\dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. We have $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R = \mathfrak{p}$ and the natural homomorphism $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is faithfully flat. Hence, by the flat base change theorem [2, 4.3.2],

$$H_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}^{i-\dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\widehat{M}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}} \neq 0.$$

So $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M})$. Therefore, $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \subseteq \{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \mid \widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M})\}$.

Conversely, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M})$. Since $\text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M}) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{\widehat{R}} H_{\widehat{m}}^i(M))$, by Lemma 2.1(i), there exists $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \min \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\widehat{m}}^i(M))$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{q}}$. So $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \supseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap R \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M))$ by Lemma 2.1(ii). Therefore, $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)) = \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ by Lemma 2.3(ii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) By Lemmas 2.3(ii) and 2.2, it is enough to prove

$$\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)) \subseteq \text{Psupp}_R^i(M).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M))$. There exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M))$ with $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$ by Lemma 2.1(i). By Lemma 2.1(ii), there exists $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\widehat{m}}^i(M))$ such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$. Since $\text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M}) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{\widehat{R}} H_{\widehat{m}}^i(M))$, it holds $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i(\widehat{M})$. So by the hypothesis, we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Since $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^i(M)$ is catenary, similar to the proof of [3, Lemma 2.2], $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is closed under specialization. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) \Rightarrow (iii) By Lemmas 2.3(ii) and 2.2, it is enough to prove

$$\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^d(M)) \subseteq \text{Psupp}_R^d(M).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^d(M))$. So $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Ann}_R H_m^d(M)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^d(M))$ by Lemma 2.1(i). Then by hypothesis, $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^d(M)$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (ii) By Lemma 2.3(i).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. We need to prove

$$\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^d(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

By [2, 11.3.8], it is enough to prove

$$\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^d(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^d(M))$. By [2, 7.3.2], $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} = d$. It follows that $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $R/\text{Ann}_R H_m^d(M)$ is catenary,

$$\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q} = \dim R/\mathfrak{q} - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}.$$

It follows by [2, 11.3.9] that $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{d-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$.

(iii) \Leftrightarrow (v) By Proposition 3.2.

(iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) Similar to (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) Assume that $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is catenary.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Similar to the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 1.1.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \min \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*), so does $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by Proposition 3.2. Hence, by Lemma 2.3(ii),

$$\text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

So $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \min \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by Lemma 2.1(i). By the weak general shifted localization principle, $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$. Let $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ be such that $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*), $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). So $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{q}_1 R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1 R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we get by the minimality of $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_1$. Therefore,

$$\min \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$. Then by Lemma 2.1(i), $\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ and hence $\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). By Lemma 3.1, we get $\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Assume

$$\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1 R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \min \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ by Lemma 3.1. The minimality of \mathfrak{q} implies $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}_1$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{q}_1 R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \min \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

By Proposition 3.2, $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ satisfies the property (*), hence by Lemmas 2.1(i) and 2.3(ii), we have

$$\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \min \text{Psupp}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \min \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c) By Proposition 3.2.

(ii) It is obvious that if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the shifted localization principle, then (c) holds true. On the other hand, we always have (c) \Rightarrow (a). Therefore, the statement (ii) is proved. □

It follows by Theorem 1.2(ii) and Lemma 2.3(iv) that if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the shifted localization principle for all i , then $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is universally catenary and R/\mathfrak{p} is unmixed for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3(iii) and Theorem 1.2(i), if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is universally catenary and all its formal fibres are Cohen-Macaulay,

then $\min \text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ for all i and all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$. Then we have $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$ since $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ and $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq i - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Assume

$$\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = j < i.$$

Since $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = j - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$, it holds $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{j-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. So $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$. This is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Therefore,

$$\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}.$$

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$ be such that $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i$. Hence, $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. We have $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Assume that there exists j such that $0 \leq j < i$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^j(M)$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{j-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq j < i.$$

This is impossible. So

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\} \subseteq \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M).$$

(ii) Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*), $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). So the proof follows from (i).

(iii) Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all $j \leq i$, we have $\text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M))$ for all $j \leq i$ by Lemma 2.3(ii). Let

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)).$$

By (i), we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}.$$

Assume $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \in \min\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}$. By (i), we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{p} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) = \min \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \in \min\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}.$$

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \in \min\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}$. Then by (i) and by the hypothesis,

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M) = \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)).$$

There exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ with $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$. It is obvious that

$$\mathfrak{q} \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} \text{Psupp}_R^j(M).$$

Since $H_m^i(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$, by Lemmas 2.3(ii) and 2.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \min \text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M)).$$

Hence, by (ii), \mathfrak{q} belongs to the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_m^i(M)) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{q} \in \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = i\}$. The minimality of \mathfrak{p} implies $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$. \square

Note that the hypothesis that $H_m^j(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ in Theorem 1.3(ii) cannot be omitted. For example, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be the Noetherian local domain of dimension 2 constructed by [7] such that \widehat{R} has an associated prime ideal $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$ of dimension 1. Then $H_m^1(R)$ does not satisfy the property $(*)$ (cf. [5]) and $\text{Psupp}_R^0(R) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_m^0(R)) = \emptyset$. So 0 belongs to the left-hand side of the formula in (ii) but it does not belong to the right-hand side of this formula. This example also shows that the hypothesis that $H_m^j(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all $j \leq i$ in Theorem 1.3(iii) cannot be omitted.

The formula in Theorem 1.3(iii) has a connection with Faltings' annihilator theorem. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be ideals of R . In the terminology of Brodmann and Sharp [2], the \mathfrak{b} -finiteness dimension $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ of M relative to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M) := \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))}\}$$

and the \mathfrak{b} -minimum \mathfrak{a} -adjusted depth of M is defined as

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M) = \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \text{Var}(\mathfrak{b})\}.$$

If $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$, we write $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ instead of $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$, called the finiteness dimension of M relative to \mathfrak{a} . Furthermore, we have (cf. [2, 9.1.2]):

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \text{ is not finitely generated}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If R is universally catenary and all its formal fibres are Cohen-Macaulay, then the annihilator theorem holds true over R , i.e., $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$ (cf. [2, 9.6.6]). Similar to [2, 11.3.13], we get the following conclusion:

Remark 3.3. Assume that $H_m^i(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i . Let \mathfrak{b} be an ideal of R . Then $f_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M) = \lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M)$.

Acknowledgements. The author is greatly indebted to his advisors, Professor N.T. Cuong and Professor L.T. Nhan, for their suggestion and guidance during the preparation of this paper.

References

[1] M. Brodmann, A particular class of regular domains, *J. Algebra* **54** (1978) 366–373.
 [2] M. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

- [3] M. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, On the dimension and multiplicity of local cohomology modules, *Nagoya Math. J.* **167** (2002) 217–233.
- [4] N.T. Cuong, N.T. Dung, L.T. Nhan, Top local cohomology and the catenaricity of the unmixed support of a finitely generated module, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (5) (2007) 1691–1701.
- [5] N.T. Cuong, L.T. Nhan, On the Noetherian dimension of Artinian modules, *Vietnam J. Math.* **30** (2) (2002) 121–130.
- [6] N.T. Cuong, L.T. Nhan, N.T.K. Nga, On pseudo supports and non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of finitely generated modules, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010) 3029–3038.
- [7] D. Ferrand, M. Raynaud, Fibres formelles d'un anneau local noethérien, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **3** (1970) 295–311.
- [8] I.G. Macdonald, Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, in: *Symposia Mathematica*, Vol. 11, Academic Press, London, 1973, pp. 23–43.
- [9] L.T. Nhan, T.N. An, On the unmixedness and the universal catenaricity of local rings and local cohomology modules, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009) 303–311.
- [10] L.T. Nhan, T.N. An, On the catenaricity of Noetherian local rings and quasi unmixed Artinian modules, *Comm. Algebra* (to appear).
- [11] R.Y. Sharp, Some results on the vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **30** (1975) 177–195.
- [12] H. Zoschinger, Über die assoziierten primideale des bidualen, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009) 1977–1994.